

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,983	12/30/2003	Katrina A. Mikhaylich	LAM2P189C	9703	
25920	7590 05/17/2005		EXAM	INER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200			ROSE, RO	ROSE, ROBERT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LE, CA 94085	3723			
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/17/200	· •	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,983	MIKHAYLICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Rose	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<i>January 2005</i> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
·	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) In ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International Bure		Treceived in this Matterial Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) ☐ Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,983

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-12 of U. S. Patent No. 6,375,540 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The claims of US 6,375,540 are deemed to fully anticipate the subject matter of applicant's claims.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,983 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen('050) is cited of interest to show an apparatus for polishing a wafer comprising a sensor for monitoring the pad temperature during polishing and integrating the temperature change of the polishing pad over time and comparing it with stored integration coefficients to arrive at an endpoint. Chen et al('442), and Chen('952) are cited of interest to show a polishing apparatus having an infrared temperature sensor for use in measuring polishing pad temperature for endpoint determination. Thornton et al and Krusell et al are cited to show fluid pressure sensors located at a leading edge and trailing edge of a wafer to sense a change in pressure of the fluid as a

4. In view of the new ground of rejection not necessitated by Applicant's response, this action is not made final.

means of endpoint detection. Boehm, Jr. et al is cited to show a linear polishing

apparatus with a temperature sensor for adjusting the temperature of the belt.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

Rr

May 13, 2005.

ROBERT A. ROSE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 323